# EQUIVARIANT LOG-CONCAVITY OF INDEPENDENCE SEQUENCES OF CLAW-FREE GRAPHS

# SHIYUE LI

ABSTRACT. We show that the graded vector space spanned by independent vertex sets of any claw-free graph is strongly equivariantly log-concave, viewed as a graded permutation representation of the graph automorphism group. Our proof reduces the problem to the equivariant hard Lefschetz theorem on the cohomology of a product of projective lines. Both the result and the proof generalize our previous result on graph matchings. This also gives a strengthening and a new proof of results of Hamidoune, and Chudnovsky–Seymour.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

A graph G is claw-free if no induced subgraph is the bipartite graph  $K_{1,3}$ . An independent set of a graph G is a set of nonadjacent vertices. The independence sequence of a claw-free graph is *log-concave*: for all  $1 \le k \le \ell$ , the numbers  $I_j$  of independent sets of size j satisfies that

$$I_{k-1}I_{\ell+1} \le I_k I_\ell.$$

First, Hamidoune [Ham90] gave a combinatorial proof of a slightly stronger result than mere log-concavity. Then, Chudnovsky and Seymour [CS07] proved it by showing that the generating polynomial has only real roots, which is well-known to implive log-concavity.

It is often interesting to ask if a certain behavior of a mathematical object respects the underlying symmetry. The notion of equivariant log-concavity was introduced by Gedeon, Proudfoot and Young [GPY17] as a natural categorification of logarithmic concavity. Recently, it is used to study various log-concavity behaviors with respect to a natural group action in the contexts of topology, geometry and combinatorics.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite group, a  $\Gamma$ -representation  $V_{\bullet}$  is strongly equivariantly log-concave if for all  $1 \leq k \leq \ell$ ,

$$V_{k-1} \otimes V_{\ell+1} \subseteq V_k \otimes V_\ell$$

as a  $\Gamma$ -subrepresentation.

We highlight some known equivariantly log-concave graded representations that are of combinatorial, geometric, and topological interests in the literature:

- **Theorem 1.1.** (A) The  $V^n_{\bullet}$  given by the q-binomial coefficients for a fixed n as a  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation is strongly equivariantly log-concave [PXY18, Proposition 6.7].
  - (B) The rational cohomology  $H^*(\text{Conf}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$  of the configuration space of n points in  $\mathbb{C}$  as an  $S_n$ -representation is strongly equivariantly log-concave for degrees  $\leq 14$ [MMPR21].

Date: November 16, 2022.

- (C) The rational cohomology  $H^*(\text{Conf}(n, \mathbb{R}^3), \mathbb{Q})$  of the configuration space of n points in  $\mathbb{C}$  as an  $S_n$ -representation is strongly equivariantly log-concave for degrees  $\leq 14$ [MMPR21].
- (D) The  $V^n_{\bullet}$  of even degrees of the intersection homology of the complex affine hypertoric variety of the root system of  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ , viwed as an  $S_n$ -representation is strongly equivariantly log-concave for degrees  $\leq 14$  [MMPR21].
- (E) The  $V^G_{\bullet}$  given by matchings in a graph G as an Aut(G)-representation is strongly equivariantly log-concave [Li22].
- (F) The  $V^n_{\bullet}$  given by k-subsets in [n] as an  $S_n$ -representation is strongly equivariantly log-concave (as a special case of [Li22]).

The aim of the present paper is to study the equivariant log-concavity of the following graded representation. Let G be a claw-free graph. Let  $\mathbb{I}_j$  denote the set of independent vertex sets of size j. The automorphism  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$  naturally acts on all independent vertex sets, and each  $\mathbb{I}_j$  is invariant under this action. Define the graded representation of  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ 

$$V^G_{\bullet} = \bigoplus_{j \ge 0, I \in \mathbb{I}_j} \mathbb{C}I,$$

and it admits a grading given by cardinalities.

The primary aim of the paper is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** For any claw-free graph G, the graded vector  $V^G_{\bullet}$  is strongly equivariantly log-concave.

**Remark 1.3.** Our proof uses combinatorics inspired by the work of Kratthenthaler [Kra96] to reduce the problem to the equivariant hard Lefschetz theorem on a Boolean algebra, or the cohomology of a product of projective lines, a generalization of the method in the author's previous work on graph matchings [Li22]. The result specializes to our previous result on graph matchings by taking the line graph L(G) of a graph G: The line graph L(G) of a graph G consists of vertices each for every edge in G and edges each for every common vertex shared by two edges in G. For example, every cycle graph  $C_n$  with n edges has its line graph isomorphic to itself, and the line graph of  $K_4$  is the 1-skeleton of the hypersimplex  $\Delta(2, 4)$ . A matching on G of size k yields an independent vertex set in L(G) of size k. Line graphs are claw-free, by construction, but not all claw-free graphs are line graph, but  $K_n$  is claw-free.

**Remark 1.4.** Taking dimensions immediately covers the previous results of Hamidoune, and Chudnovsky–Seymour, thus giving new proofs to these results.

**Remark 1.5.** Communicated by Eric Ramos and Nick Proudfoot, the group consisting of Melody Chan, Chris Eur, Dane Miyata, Nick Proudfoot, Eric Ramos, Lorenzo Vecchi, Claudia Yun, was studying if the graded  $\operatorname{Aut}(T)$ -representation of the independence sequence of a tree T is strongly equivariantly log-concave. They provided a counterexample, the star graph with 6 leaves, to disprove the statement. Note that this counterexample is "claw-ful", quite the opposite of "claw-free". Morally speaking, the enigmatic "claw" structure seems to be an obstruction to the equivariant log-concavity of independence sequence of a tree, but the lack thereof turns out to be crucial in our proof of Theorem 1.2.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is thankful to Eric Ramos for bringing up this generalization, during the author's visit at University of Oregon. This work is supported by a Coline M. Makepeace Fellowship at Brown University and the travel to UO is made possible by a Focused Research Group grant.

# 2. Proof of the main theorem

In this section, we prove the main theorem. The main idea is to construct a family of Aut(G)-equivariant injections

$$V_{k-1} \otimes V_{\ell+1} \hookrightarrow V_k \otimes V_\ell$$

for all  $1 \leq k \leq \ell$  by reducing to the equivariant hard Lefschetz operator on a Boolean algebra, or the cohomology of a product of projective lines, via the combinatorics of the independent vertex sets. This method is inspired by Krattenthaler's combinatorial proof of the log-concavity of graph matching sequence [Kra96].

Fix a graph G and  $1 \leq k \leq \ell$ , for each pair I, J in  $\mathbb{I}_{k-1} \times \mathbb{I}_{\ell+1}$ , consider the induced subgraph on the symmetric difference of I and J, i.e.,  $(I \setminus J) \cup (J \setminus I)$ , denoted by  $G_{I,J}$ . The components in  $G_{I,J}$  can only be either a path or a cycle, because G is claw-free and I, J are independent vertex sets. Consider all the components in  $G_{I,J}$  that are paths of even lengths, i.e., paths that contain odd number of vertices in  $I \cup J$ , denoted as  $C_{I,J}$ . ("C" for "chains".) Color vertices from I with blue, and J with pink. Note that each path in  $C_{I,J}$  has both endpoints color blue or pink. Now we do some counting: Let  $P_{I,J}$  resp.  $B_{I,J}$  be the number of pink resp. blue paths in  $C_{I,J}$ .

(a) 
$$P_{I,J} + B_{I,J} = |C_{I,J}|;$$

(b) 
$$P_{I,J} - B_{I,J} = (\ell + 1) - (k - 1) \ge 2.$$

From these, we know

$$2B_{I,J} \le B_{I,J} + P_{I,J} - 2 = |C_{I,J}| - 2$$
, and therefore,  $B_{I,J} \le \frac{|C_{I,J}|}{2} - 1$ . (1)

Our next step is to decompose each of  $V_{k-1} \otimes V_{\ell+1}$  and  $V_k \otimes V_{\ell+1}$  into a direct sum of Boolean algebras on certain partitions in  $\mathbb{I}_{k-1} \times \mathbb{I}_{\ell+1}$  and  $\mathbb{I}_{k-1} \times \mathbb{I}_{\ell+1}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Two pairs (I, J), (I', J') of independent vertex sets are equivalent if  $I \cup J = I' \cup J'$  and I resp. J agrees with I' resp. J' outside of  $C_{I,J}$  or  $C_{I',J'}$ .

One verifies using arguments in [Li22] that this indeed gives partitions  $\Pi_{k-1,\ell+1}$  and  $\Pi_{k,\ell}$ on  $\mathbb{I}_{k-1} \times \mathbb{I}_{\ell+1}$  and  $\mathbb{I}_k \times \mathbb{I}_\ell$  respectively.

For any part  $P \in \Pi_{k-1,\ell+1}$  and each pair (I, J) in P, we associate a set of pairs of independent vertex sets in  $\mathbb{I}_{k-1} \times \mathbb{I}_{\ell+1}$  as follows. For each path in  $C_{I,J}$  with endpoints colored blue, we swap the colors on all the vertices in this path from pink to blue and from blue to pink. This swapping produces a path in  $C_{I,J}$  with endpoints color pink. Now collect all the blue resp. pink vertices in  $G_{I,J}$  and record that as I' resp. J'. Since I' resp. J' now has k resp.  $\ell$  vertices, the pair (I', J') is in  $\mathbb{I}_k \times \mathbb{I}_\ell$ . Repeat for every path in  $C_{I,J}$ , we obtain a subset  $N_{I,J}$  in  $\mathbb{I}_k \times \mathbb{I}_\ell$ . Using a similar argument as in [Li22, Section 2.2], we verify that  $N_{I,J}$  is a part of  $\Pi_{k,\ell}$ , denoted as P'. Now define a map

$$\Phi_{k,\ell} \colon V_{k-1} \otimes V_{\ell+1} \to V_k \otimes V_\ell, \quad I \otimes J \mapsto \frac{1}{|N_{I,J}|} \sum_{(I',J') \in N_{I,J}} I' \otimes J'.$$

Using similar arugment as in [Li22, Section 2.2], one verifies that  $\Phi_{k,\ell}$  is Aut(G)-equivariant.

To show injectivitity, we consider the following vector space for any part P in  $\Pi_{k-1,\ell+1}$ 

$$V_{k-1,\ell+1}(P) := \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{ I \otimes J \mid (I,J) \in P \}.$$

We now realize  $V_{k-1,\ell+1}(P)$  as a categorification of the  $B_P$ th level of the Boolean lattice on  $C_P$ . Consider the map

$$\beta_P \colon P \to \begin{pmatrix} C_P \\ B_P \end{pmatrix}, \quad (I,J) \mapsto \text{the set of paths with blue endpoints in } C_{I,J}.$$

It is well-defined by the construction of paths of blue endpoints in  $C_P$  and bijective using a similar argument in [Li22]. Next, we consider the vector space

$$V_{C_P,B_P} := \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{F}} \left\{ B \mid B \in \binom{C_P}{B_P} \right\},\$$

and define

 $\underline{\beta_P}: V_{k-1,\ell+1}(P) \to V_{C_P,B_P}, \quad I \otimes J \mapsto \text{the set of paths with blue endpoints in } C_{I,J}.$ 

It is an isomorphism of vector spaces, because  $\beta_P$  is a bijection on the bases.

Then, we do the same procedure for  $\mathbb{I}_k \times \mathbb{I}_\ell$ . We define vector spaces  $V_{k,\ell}(P')$ ,  $V_{C_P,B_{P'}}$ and the maps  $\beta_{P'}$  and  $\beta_{P'}$  similar to those for P. Note that, by construction,

$$B_{P'} = B_P + 1$$
 and  $C_{P'} = C_P$ .

Finally, for each P in  $\Pi_{k-1,\ell+1}$ , define the linear map

$$L_P \colon V_{C_P, B_P} \to V_{C_{P'}, B_P+1}, \quad B \mapsto \frac{1}{|C_P| - B_P} \sum_{B \subseteq B' \in \binom{C_P}{B_P+1}} B'.$$

Crucially,  $L_P$  is a hard Leftschetz operator on the Boolean algebra spanned by all subsets of  $C_P$ , where the grading is given by cardinality. It is injective for degrees  $B_P \leq |C_P|/2 - 1$ . This operator and its injectivity on the lower half graded pieces have been studied in various contexts. We invite the reader to see proofs of various flavors: [Sta80], [Sta83, The hard Leftschetz theorem], [HW08, Proposition 7], [HMM<sup>+</sup>13], [Sta13, Theorem 4.7] and [BHM<sup>+</sup>20, Theorem 1.1(3)].

By construction, the following diagram commutes:

$$V_{k-1,\ell+1}(P) \xrightarrow{\beta_P} V_{C_P,B_P}$$

$$\downarrow^{\Phi_{k,\ell}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{L_P}$$

$$V_{k,\ell}(P') \xrightarrow{\beta_{P'}} V_{C_{P'},B_P+1}$$

#### EQUIVARIANT LOG-CONCAVITY OF INDEPENDENCE SEQUENCES OF CLAW-FREE GRAPHS 5

Therefore,  $\Phi_{k,\ell}$  is injective from  $V_{k-1,\ell+1}(P)$  to  $V_{k,\ell}(P')$ .

Note that by construction,

$$V_{k-1} \otimes V_{\ell+1} = \bigoplus_{P \in \Pi_{k-1,\ell+1}} V_{k-1,\ell+1}(P) \cong \bigoplus_{P \in \Pi_{k-1,\ell+1}} V_{C_P,B_P}$$

Then the last sentence of the previous paragraph implies that  $\Phi_{k,\ell}$  is injective on  $V_{k-1} \otimes V_{\ell+1}$ .

### References

- [BHM<sup>+</sup>20] Tom Braden, June Huh, Jacob P Matherne, Nicholas Proudfoot, and Botong Wang, Singular Hodge theory for combinatorial geometries, arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.06088 (2020). ↑4
  - [CS07] M. Chudnovsky and Paul D. Seymour, The roots of the independence polynomial of a clawfree graph, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 97 (2007), 350–357. <sup>↑</sup>1
  - [GPY17] Katie Gedeon, Nicholas Proudfoot, and Benjamin Young, The equivariant kazhdan-lusztig polynomial of a matroid, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **150** (2017), 267–294. ↑1
  - [Ham90] Yahya Ould Hamidoune, On the numbers of independent k-sets in a claw free graph, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 50 (1990), no. 2, 241–244. ↑1
- [HMM<sup>+</sup>13] Tadahito Harima, Toshiaki Maeno, Hideaki Morita, Yasuhide Numata, Akihito Wachi, and Junzo Watanabe, Lefschetz properties, The lefschetz properties, 2013, pp. 97–140. ↑4
  - [HW08] Masao Hara and Junzo Watanabe, The determinants of certain matrices arising from the Boolean lattice, Discrete mathematics **308** (2008), no. 23, 5815–5822. ↑4
  - [Kra96] C. Krattenthaler, Combinatorial proof of the log-concavity of the sequence of matching numbers, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 74 (May 1996), no. 2, 351–354. <sup>↑</sup>2, 3
  - [Li22] Shiyue Li, Equivariant log-concavity of graph matchings, arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.08828 (2022). <sup>↑</sup>2, 3, 4
- [MMPR21] Jacob P Matherne, Dane Miyata, Nicholas Proudfoot, and Eric Ramos, Equivariant log concavity and representation stability, arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.00715 (2021). ↑1, 2
  - [PXY18] Nicholas Proudfoot, Yuan Xu, and Benjamin Young, The Z-polynomial of a matroid, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 25 (2018), no. 1, 1. <sup>↑</sup>1
  - [Sta13] Richard P Stanley, Algebraic Combinatorics, Springer 20 (2013), 22. ↑4
  - [Sta80] Richard P. Stanley, Weyl groups, the hard Lefschetz theorem, and the Sperner property, SIAM Journal on Algebraic Discrete Methods 1 (1980), no. 2, 168–184, available at https://doi. org/10.1137/0601021. ↑4
  - [Sta83] Richard P Stanley, Combinatorial applications of the hard Lefschetz theorem, Proceedings of the international congress of mathematicians, 1983, pp. 2. <sup>↑</sup>4

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, PROVIDENCE, RI 02906 Email address: shiyue\_li@brown.edu